Hans Köchler

AUSTRIA, NEUTRALITY AND NON-ALIGNMENT

International Progress Organization



Studies in International Relations

XXXVI

© 2021, International Progress Organization. All rights reserved.

Cover page: Adolf Schärf, Federal President of Austria, center, John F. Kennedy, President of the United States, left, and Nikita Sergeyevich Khrushchev, Chairman of the Council of Ministers and First Secretary of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union, enter the grand ballroom at Schönbrunn Palace in Vienna, for a gala dinner hosted by the Austrian President, 3 June 1961.

ISBN 978-3-900704-28-5

International Progress Organization Kohlmarkt 4, 1010 Vienna, Austria www.i-p-o.org

Printed in Austria.

Contents

Editorial Note	7
(I) Neutrality as non-alignment	11
(II) Redefining neutrality	31
Bibliography	39
Annex	
Non-aligned Movement	49
Message of Indira Gandhi	53
Index of pictures	55

EDITORIAL NOTE

The present text is an expanded version of the lecture delivered at the international conference, "The Rise of Asia in Global History and Perspective: 60 years after Belgrade – what non-alignment in a multipolar world?" organized at Université Paris I (Panthéon-Sorbonne) and Université Le Havre Normandie, France (10-11 February 2021), in co-operation with Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia, and Kirori Mal College, University of New Delhi, India.

The author's encyclopedic entry on the Non-aligned Movement (2012) is reproduced in the Annex. The Annex also includes the text of the historical message of Indian Prime Minister Indira Gandhi to an international conference on "The Principles of Non-alignment" which the International Progress Organization convened in 1982 in Baghdad, Iraq, in preparation of the Non-aligned Summit.

H.K.

"Thus, the name of Nehru will forever be connected with the history of our neutrality."



Bruno Kreisky, Federal Chancellor of Austria

At the beginning of the state visit, President Theodor Körner, right, receives Indian Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru at the Hofburg Palace in Vienna, 26 June 1955. Nehru's was the first state visit of a foreign leader in newly independent Austria.

"Prime Minister Nehru (...) is without a doubt the only personality in international politics whose 'good offices' can be effective in supporting Austria in her efforts towards the realization of the State Treaty." (Austrian daily, *Neues Österreich*, 21 June 1953)

"The consent of such an important country [India] – whose neutrality in the East-West Conflict is beyond any doubt – was destined to be especially beneficial to Austria's cause." (Karl Gruber, Minister of Foreign Affairs of Austria, on India's support for Austria's appeal to the United Nations General Assembly in 1952)

Ι

Neutrality as non-alignment

After World War II, Austria regained its sovereign status on the basis of a constitutional commitment to a non-aligned foreign policy. In the Moscow Memorandum of 15 April 1955,¹ the Austrian government solemnly pledged to work for the adoption of a law that would enshrine in the Constitution a provision of permanent neutrality according to the model of Switzerland.² In a political *quid pro quo* that resembled the one that became the basis of re-established Swiss independence after the Vienna Congress of 1815,³ the Austrian "neutrality law" was to be passed after the ratification of a "State Treaty" with the four Allied Powers on the re-establishment of an independent Austria, to be followed by the withdrawal of all Allied troops

¹ Memorandum über die Ergebnisse der Besprechungen zwischen der Regierungsdelegation der Republik Österreich und der Regierungsdelegation der Sowjetunion [Memorandum regarding the results of the talks between the governmental delegation of the Republic of Austria and the governmental delegation of the Soviet Union]. The document, classified as "strictly confidential," was signed on the day after the conclusion of talks in Moscow that lasted from 12 to 14 April 1955. – All German titles and quotes in this paper are translated by the author.

² Article I (1) of the Memorandum.

³ After the Napoleonic wars, in 1815, the victorious Powers of the Vienna Congress had determined "that the general interest demands that the Helvetic States should enjoy the benefit of a perpetual neutrality." The powers also committed themselves to enable Switzerland "to secure its independence and maintain its neutrality." ("XI: Déclaration des puissances sur les affaires de la Confédération Helvétique, du 20 Mars 1815," in: *Actes du Congrès de Vienne*. Brussels: Weissenbruch, 1819, p. 274.) (Translated from French.) On 27th May 1815, the Helvetic Diet expressed the "eternal gratitude of the Swiss nation" to the "High Powers [Contracting Powers of the Vienna Congress], who (...) promise solemnly to acknowledge and guarantee the perpetual neutrality of the Helvetic Body, as being necessary to the general interest of Europe." ("XI: Acte d'accession [*en date de Zuric le 27 Mai 1815*] de la Confédération Suisse à la Déclaration des Puissances réunies au Congrès de Vienne, *en date du 20 Mars 1815*," *op. cit.*, pp. 285f.) (Translated from French.)

from Austrian territory.⁴ The rationale of the agreement was strikingly similar to the considerations of the Powers at the Vienna Congress, namely that permanent neutrality of a state can be in the "general interest" of the international community.⁵ It was about the idea of non-alignment as a stabilizing factor in the concert of powers, whether in the post-Napoleonic or the post-World War II era.⁶

One of the founders of the Non-aligned Movement, Indian Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru, played a crucial role in Austria's efforts. On 20 June 1953, he met with Austrian Foreign Minister Karl Gruber on the Bürgenstock Mountain above Lake Lucerne, Switzerland.⁷ The latter asked for the Prime Minister's good offices vis-à-vis the Soviet government in the matter of the deadlocked negotiations on the State Treaty⁸ between Austria and the

⁴ As early as 1947, Austrian President Karl Renner – who served as first post-war Chancellor (Prime Minister) of Austria in 1945 – referred to the "ewige Neutralität" [perpetual neutrality] of Switzerland as basis of the country's recognition as a sovereign state after the Napoleonic wars. (Karl Renner, "Die ideologische Ausrichtung der Politik Österreichs" [The Ideological Direction of Austrian Policy], in: *Wiener Zeitung*, 240th Year, No. 16, 19 January 1947, p. 1.)

⁵ Actes du Congrès de Vienne, p. 274, p. 286. See quotes in fn. 3 above.

⁶ The difference between the arrangements of 1815 and 1955 was in the sequence of the steps. While in the former case, the neutral status was initially brought up by the guaranteeing Powers, and acceded to by the concerned state (Switzerland), in the latter case, the neutral status was "offered" by the concerned state (Austria) so as to induce one of the Allied Powers (the Soviet Union) to agree to a "State Treaty" on the restoration of Austrian sovereignty.

 ⁷ "Auf dem Bürgenstock am Vierwaldstättersee: Außenminister Dr. Gruber besucht Pandit Nehru," in: *Neues Österreich*, 9th Year (No. 140), No. 2478, Vienna, 20 June 1953, p. 1. – "Gruber besucht Nehru auf dem Bürgenstock," in: *Neue Zürcher Zeitung*, Zurich, 19 June 1953, p. 38.

⁸ On the difficulties of the negotiations that repeatedly stalled due to mutual mistrust among the Allied Powers see Karl Gruber's detailed record: *Zwischen Befreiung und Freiheit: Der Sonderfall Österreich*. Vienna: Ullstein, 2nd ed. 1953, pp. 309ff. – Cf. also, Gerald Stourzh and Wolfgang Mueller, *Der Kampf um den Staatsvertrag 1945-1955: Ost-West-Besetzung, Staatsvertrag und Neutralität Österreichs*. Vienna: Böhlau Verlag, 2020.



Jahwaharlal Nehru, Prime Minister of India, center, shakes hands with Karl Gruber, Foreign Minister of Austria, upon the latter's arrival, at Nehru's invitation, at the Bürgenstock Palace Hotel, located high above Lake Lucerne in Canton Nidwalden, Switzerland, 20 June 1953.

In an early autobiographical record (*Zwischen Befreiung und Freiheit*, 1953), Gruber asserts that he came to brief Nehru "on the long and thorny path of the Austrian State Treaty" ("über den langjährigen Dornenweg des österreichischen Staatsvertrages"). In view of Gruber's account, Nehru's role – beyond his success as diplomatic mediator in support of Austria's negotiations with the Soviet Union – can be seen as that of a guide or mentor in Austria's efforts towards regaining its sovereign status.

four Allied Powers.⁹ Conclusion of the treaty was Austria's top priority on the road to recognition of its sovereign status.¹⁰ Gruber told the Prime Minister – in the presence of India's Ambassador to Moscow, K. P. S. Menon¹¹ – that his government was considering to offer "guarantees against Austrian participation in military alliances," i.e. a constitutionally enshrined policy of permanent neutrality.¹² He asked Nehru to convey this position to the Soviet authorities.¹³ According to British historian Sir John Wheeler-Bennett,

"Nehru was intrigued with the idea. He accepted the office of mediator¹⁴ and shortly thereafter [K. P. S.] Menon, in conversation with Molotov in Moscow, advanced the proposal – as coming from himself and without committing the Austrian Government – that an undertaking by Austria not to allow foreign military bases upon her territory or to adhere to any

⁹ For details see, *inter alia*, Michael Gehler, "Grubers Treffen mit Nehru am 20. Juni 1953: Allianzfreiheit als Maximum des Möglichen und die Bürgenstock-Initiative" [Gruber's Meeting with Nehru on June 20th, 1953: Non-alignment as Maximum of what is Possible, and the Bürgenstock Initiative], in: *Modellfall für Deutschland? Die Österreichlösung mit Staatsvertrag und Neutralität 1945-1955* [Model for Germany? The Austrian Solution: State Treaty and Neutrality 1945-1955]. Innsbruck/Vienna/Bozen: StudienVerlag, 2015, pp. 279ff. – Margit Franz, "Höhepunkte der österreichisch-indischen Beziehungen," in: Gabriela Krist and Tatjana Bayerová (eds.), *Heritage Conservation and Research in India: 60 Years of Indo-Austrian Collaboration*. Vienna/Cologne/Weimar: Böhlau Verlag, 2010, p. 18.

¹⁰ For an overview, cf. Gerald Stourzh, "Österreichs Weg zum Staatsvertrag und zur Neutralität," in: Forum Politische Bildung (ed.), *Frei – Souverän – Neutral – Europäisch.* 1945 – 1955 – 1995 – 2005. Innsbruck/Vienna: Studien Verlag 2004, pp. 7-20; p. 17.

¹¹ Former Foreign Secretary (1948-1952) and Indian Ambassador to the Soviet Union (1952-1961), not to be confused with Krishna Menon, Indian Ambassador to the United Nations (1952-1962). The confusion frequently occurs in literature about Indian mediation in the matter of the Austrian State Treaty.

¹² Karl Gruber, *Ein politisches Leben: Österreichs Weg zwischen den Diktaturen* [A Political Life: Austria's Journey between Dictatorships]. Vienna/Munich/Zurich: Molden, [1976], p. 122.

¹³ *Op. cit.*, p. 151.

¹⁴ See also a commentary in the Austrian media, "Indien bereit, Österreich 'gute Dienste' zu leihen" [India ready to lend her 'good offices' to Austria], in: *Neues Österreich*, 9th Year (No. 141), No. 2479, 21 June 1953, pp. 1-2.

military alliance of alignment with a foreign Power might form the basis for the conclusion of a *Staatsvertrag* [State Treaty]."¹⁵

In Sir Wheeler-Bennett's assessment, Nehru's role as "diplomatic mediator" introduced "an entirely new factor into the Austrian treaty discussions."¹⁶ Similarly, in a secret diplomatic cable to the Department of State, the Acting United States High Commissioner for Austria, Walter C. Dowling, reported that Gruber had told Nehru that Austria would consider "some carefully-worded declaration against military alliances by Parliament," but only in case that this was "essential for Soviet consent to treaty [*sic*]."¹⁷ The cable referred to a conversation between Gruber and the U.S. High Commissioner three days after the Bürgenstock meeting. The secret message further stated that Nehru was "agreeing entirely with Austrian views re neutrality."¹⁸

Obviously, this was a constellation where the ideas of "neutrality," proposed by Austria, and "non-alignment," actively pursued by India, coincided. For Austria, however, it was a delicate balancing act between the conflicting geopolitical interests of the Allied occupying powers.¹⁹ Not

¹⁵ Sir John Wheeler-Bennett and Anthony Nicholls, *The Semblance of Peace: The Political Settlement after the Second World War*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, 1972, p. 478.

¹⁶ *Ibid*.

¹⁷ "Austria: Interest of the United States in the reestablishment of an independent and democratic Austria," 871/SECRET/3497, dated 25 June 1953, in: *Foreign Relations of the United States 1952-1954*. Vol. VII: *Germany and Austria*, Part 2. William Z. Slany, Editor in Chief. (Department of State Publication 9470 – Office of the Historian, Bureau of Public Affairs.) Washington DC: United States Government Printing Office, 1986, p. 1868.

¹⁸ Loc. cit.

¹⁹ The Western powers, in particular the United Kingdom, were highly skeptical of Austria's "neutrality offer" and Nehru's involvement. Cf. the above-quoted cable of the Acting U.S. High Commissioner, dated 25 June 1953, p. 1868. According to Gruber, for the Western powers, Nehru's initiative came totally unexpected (*Ein politisches Leben*, p. 122). Concerning the United Kingdom, see also Gruber, *op. cit.*, p. 123. Furthermore,

surprisingly , in the wake of the meeting , a controversy erupted on how to qualify India's intervention. After reports in the international media,²⁰ stating that Austria "had not been successful in convincing the Indian Prime Minister to intervene in the Austrian case" (Reuters)²¹ or that Nehru had denied that Austria had requested the Prime Minister's official intervention (AFP),²² a debate ensued on terminology. Already on 23 June 1953, Austria's Council of Ministers had officially declared the denials as "baseless ."²³ In an op- ed article on 25 June 1953, the well-informed newspaper *Neues Österreich* (The New Austria) spoke of Nehru as the "most eminent " representative of the powers that are committed to East-West understanding , and whose willingness "to act as advocate of our neglected rights (...) constitutes a fact of global political importance."²⁴ Thus, Nehru acted as mediator in the true sense of the word, as has eventually also been confirmed by Gruber in his 1976 memoirs.²⁵

Although, in the Moscow meeting with the Indian Ambassador, Soviet Foreign Minister Molotov reportedly said that Austria's offer to commit

according to Gruber, the British complained that Nehru had met with the Austrian Foreign Minister without consulting them, which they considered particularly embarrassing in view of India's Commonwealth membership (pp. 147f).

²⁰ According to the Austrian daily "Neues Österreich," Nehru offered Austria India's "good services" (*Neues Österreich*, "Die guten Dienste," No. 2482, 25 June 1953, pp. 1-2) while AFP and Reuters reported exactly the opposite. (Cf., *inter alia*, *Der Spiegel*, "Cäsar mit Knöpflschuhen" [Caesar with button shoes], No. 36/1953, 1 September 1953, pp. 1-19.)

²¹ Quoted according to *Neues Österreich*, Vienna, 25 June 1993, p. 1.

²² According to a report of the Cairo correspondent of AFP, quoted in *Der Spiegel, loc. cit.*

²³ Neues Österreich, loc. cit.

²⁴ *Op. cit.*, p. 2.

²⁵ Ein politisches Leben, pp. 124, 151, and passim.

herself to a neutral status was "useful," but not "sufficient,"²⁶ the pledge by Austria was eventually drafted into the above-mentioned Moscow Memorandum of 1955, which finally paved the way for the State Treaty.²⁷

Thus, what was to be known as the "Bürgenstock Initiative" has become the foundation for the successful conclusion of the treaty in May 1955. Bruno Kreisky – at the time Minister of State in the Prime Minister's Office, and later to become Foreign Minister and Prime Minister of Austria – summed it up in solemn style: "So ist der Name Nehru für immer mit der Geschichte unserer Neutralität verbunden." [Thus, the name of Nehru will forever be connected with the history of our neutrality.]²⁸

The Bürgenstock meeting was the result of intensive and sustained diplomatic efforts vis-à-vis like-minded countries since 1952, when Austria rallied support for an "appeal" to the United Nations General Assembly

²⁶ Heinrich Siegler (ed.), *Österreichs Weg zur Souveränität, Neutralität, Prosperität 1945-1959* [Austria's Journey to Sovereignty, Neutrality, Prosperity 1945-1959]. Bonn/ Vienna/Zurich: Verlag für Zeitarchive, 1959, Par. 55, p. 33. Cf. also, Thomas Fischer, "Mount Nehru: Friedensgipfel Bürgenstock" [Mount Nehru: Peace Summit Bürgenstock], in: *Transhelvetica*, #8/2012, January-February, p. 45. – Memo by Austrian Ambassador Enderl in the Austrian State Archive: *Amtsvermerk / Gespräch mit Botschafter K.P.S. Menon, Staatsvertragsfrage, 14. September 1953.* AdR BKA-AA II-Pol StV 1 316.065-Pol/53 323.914-Pol/53.

²⁷ It is an interesting coincidence that the Moscow Memorandum in which Austria committed itself to a status of permanent neutrality was signed a few days before the Asian-African Conference, convened at the initiative of Indonesia in Bandung (18-24 April 1955), and which became the decisive event for the creation of the Non-aligned Movement.

²⁸ Bruno Kreisky, *Reden*. Vol. 1. Vienna: Verlag der österreichischen Staatsdruckerei, 1981, p. 597. – In his memoirs, Foreign Minister Karl Gruber – who was Nehru's interlocutor in the delicate phase preceding the conclusion of the State Treaty – enthusiastically speaks about his "encounter with the Indian Prime Minister Pandit Nehru who, suddenly and unexpectedly, took such an active role in support of Austria" ["meine Begegnung mit dem plötzlich und unerwartet für Österreich so aktiv gewordenen indischen Ministerpräsidenten Pandit Nehru"] (*Ein politisches Leben*, p. 147).

concerning the country's international status. The initiative, sponsored by Brazil and other states, including Mexico, the Netherlands and Lebanon, was also endorsed by India.²⁹ The matter was referred to the General Assembly's Special Political Committee.³⁰ In the 1952 session of the Assembly, India's UN delegate, Ambassador Krishna Menon, addressed the Committee, expressing his country's support for the restoration of Austrian sovereignty. Foreign Minister Gruber expressed Austria's special appreciation: "Das Wort Indiens war für uns von großer Bedeutung" [The word of India was of major importance to us].³¹ Eventually, on 20 December 1952, the UN General Assembly unanimously addressed an "earnest appeal" to the four Allied Powers "to make a renewed and urgent effort on the terms of an Austrian treaty with a view to an early termination of the occupation of Austria and the full exercise by Austria of the powers inherent in its sovereignty."³² Also, in August 1952, the Political Director of the Foreign Ministry was sent to New Delhi where he met with the Prime Minister. Nehru assured him of India's readiness, at an opportune moment, to sound out the Soviet position concerning Austria's aspirations for the conclusion of a "State Treaty."³³ In

²⁹ The Austrian Foreign Minister's evaluation of Indian support is indicative of the two countries' close diplomatic cooperation at the time, rooted in their mutual commitment to neutrality: "Die Zustimmung eines so bedeutenden Landes, dessen Neutralität im Ost-West-Konflikt über jedem Zweifel stand, mußte der Sache Österreichs ganz besonderen Nutzen bringen." [The consent of such an important country – whose neutrality in the East-West Conflict is beyond any doubt – was destined to be especially beneficial to Austria's cause.] (Gruber, *Zwischen Befreiung und Freiheit*, p. 281.)

³⁰ For details see Gruber, *op. cit.*, chapter XXII: *Appell an die Vereinten Nationen*, pp. 290ff.

³¹ *Op. cit.*, p. 293.

³² United Nations, General Assembly, 7th session, resolution 613 (VII), adopted at the 409th plenary meeting, 20 December 1952: *Question of an appeal to the Powers signatories to the Moscow Declaration of 1 November 1943 for the early fulfillment of their pledges towards Austria*.

³³ Gruber, *op. cit.*, p. 282.

view of obtaining accurate information on the intentions of the Soviet Union, deputies in the Austrian Parliament, in the course of 1953, further discussed the "engagement of a neutral power" such as India.³⁴ Eventually, in the morning of 3 June 1953, Prime Minister Nehru met with Foreign Minister Gruber in London. Both had attended the coronation of Elizabeth II on the preceding day. Nehru invited Gruber to visit him at the Bürgenstock resort in Switzerland where, after his London visit, the Prime Minister was meeting Indian diplomatic representatives.³⁵

Following the eventual signing of the State Treaty on 15 May 1955 in Vienna³⁶ and the completion of the ratification process on 27 July of the same year, the Austrian Parliament, on 26 October 1955, decided that Austria will permanently refrain from joining military alliances and will not allow any foreign military basis on its soil.³⁷ This happened exactly on the day after the last foreign soldier had left Austria under the provisions of the State Treaty.³⁸

As Austria's leaders made clear at the time, the country's concept of neutrality was not to be understood as equidistance vis-à-vis ideological blocs,³⁹ a position some polemically described as "neutralism" (and which

³⁴ Gruber, *op. cit.*, p. 308.

³⁵ *Foreign Relations of the United States 1952-1954.* Vol. VII: *Germany and Austria*, Part 2, p. 1967, fn. 2. India's Ambassador to Austria was also present at the meeting.

³⁶ Staatsvertrag, betreffend die Wiederherstellung eines unabhängigen und demokratischen Österreich [State Treaty concerning the reconstitution of an independent and democratic Austria]. Republik Österreich, *Bundesgesetzblatt*, Year 1955, Issue 39, No. 152, published on 30 July 1955.

³⁷ Bundesverfassungsgestz vom 26. Oktober 1955 über die Neutralität Österreichs [Federal Constitutional Law of 20 October 1955 on the Neutrality of Austria]. Bgbl. No. 211/1955.

³⁸ Article 20(3).

³⁹ On the development of the concept in Austria's foreign policy during the Cold War era cf. also Karl Zemanek, "Austria's Policy of Neutrality: Constants and Variables," in:

commentators later attributed to the Non-aligned Movement [NAM]).⁴⁰ After World War II, Austria always saw itself as part of the Western world. "Military," not ideological, neutrality, tied to a commitment to "comprehensive national defense" (enshrined in Article 9a of the Federal Constitutional Law), has become a defining element of Austrian state identity ever since the post-World War II period.

It is worthy of note that Austria's Foreign Minister in the period of the State Treaty (1953-1959), Leopold Figl,⁴¹ adhered to a policy that committed the country to a strict interpretation and practice of neutrality. In an official instruction to the Austrian delegation to the XIIth Session (1957-1958) of the United Nations General Assembly, he laid down that, "in general, (...) all resolutions that are in conformity with the Principles of the UN Charter should be supported," but with the proviso that, "because of Austria's neutrality, the Delegation is obliged to abstain in all General Assembly votes that may imply the adoption of specific measures [*Maßnahmen*]."⁴² In the same instruction, the Foreign Minister also committed the delegation "to support, in all cases of de-colonization [original: *Antikolonialismus*], the general principle of self-

Hanspeter Neuhold and Hans Thalberg (eds.), *The European Neutrals in International Affairs*. Vienna: Braumüller / Boulder, Co.: Westview, 1984, pp. 17-24.

⁴⁰ Cf. the characterization of the Yugoslav position by Austrian National Radio as a "concept of neutralism" in the sense of "a loose form of cooperation among non-aligned countries" ["Konzept des Neutralismus" als "lose Aktionsgemeinschaft blockfreier Länder"]: Hellmuth Bock, "Wir blenden auf, wir blenden ein – Besuch von Marschall Tito in Wien," ORF (Austrian State Radio), 31 January 1967, audio recording, Österreichische Mediathek, orf-06418_K02.

⁴¹ Leopold Figl succeeded Karl Gruber who had served as Foreign Minister since 1945. Figl is considered as one of the founding fathers of the "Austrian second Republic," the new democratic state rebuilt after the defeat of the Nazi empire in 1945. He served as Federal Chancellor (Prime Minister) from December 1945 to April 1953.

⁴² According to *Österreichisches Staatsarchiv* (Austrian State Archive), AdR, BMfAA, II-Pol. Zl.223.864-POL/57. (Translated from German.)

determination of peoples" and "to adopt a positive, if necessary active, attitude in all humanitarian cases where a possibility of mediation might exist, also in cases which do not directly concern Austria's interests."⁴³ Issued in the early period of the country's independence, immediately following the conclusion of the State Treaty, these guidelines are evidence of Austria's genuine commitment to neutrality, in connection with some of the basic causes and principles of the Bandung Conference and the later Non-aligned Movement. The commitment included, in particular, support for peaceful co-existence on the basis of non-interference into the internal affairs of states.

It was certainly no coincidence that Prime Minister Nehru – who played such a vital role in support of the negotiations of 1953 – paid a state visit to Austria in June 1955, roughly one month after Austria had gained full independence through the conclusion of the State Treaty.⁴⁴ It was also two months after the affirmation of the Bandung Principles. Nehru's was the first state visit of a foreign leader in newly independent Austria. Subsequently, in September 1956, another of the founding fathers of the Non-aligned Movement, and host of the Bandung Conference, President Sukarno of Indonesia, came to Vienna on a state visit.

In the following years, Foreign Minister Bruno Kreisky aimed at widening the scope of Austria's international relations on the basis of neutrality and non-alignment. He established cooperation with an increasing number of countries in the non-Western world. The impromptu visit of President Kwame Nkrumah of Ghana, also one of the initial leaders of the Non-

⁴³ *Loc. cit.* (Translated from German.)

⁴⁴ After visiting Moscow, Nehru arrived in Vienna on 26 June 1955 for a two-day state visit in the course of which he also met with Indian diplomatic representatives from all over Europe; cf. "Nehru in Vienna Today," in: *The New York Times*, 26 June 1955, p. 4.

aligned Movement, on 8 August 1961 (three weeks before the founding summit of the Movement in Belgrade), is evidence of this as is the second state visit of President Sukarno in June 1963.⁴⁵ In the midst of Cold War tensions, the five-day state visit of President Tito of Yugoslavia in February 1967 further highlighted the position of Austria as a permanently neutral state. In spite of historical differences, the two countries were able to engage in constructive cooperation, not the least because of common appreciation of a non-aligned foreign policy. In the face of East-West rivalry, Austria and Yugoslavia agreed on the importance of a "European solution," independent from the era's two superpowers.⁴⁶ It was in this context that the Austrian Parliament endorsed Yugoslavia's initiative for an assembly of European

parliaments.47

⁴⁵ The state visit was scheduled for a period of one week (2-9 June) and included comprehensive information and cooperation meetings. On the global political dimension of Sukarno's relationship with Austria cf. the assessment of Chancellor Bruno Kreisky's Deputy Chief of Staff (1977-1983), Wolfgang Petritsch: "Von den Führern des neuen Asiens war es vor allem der indonesische Präsident, der die Beziehungen zu Wien schätzte." [Among the leaders of the new Asia, it was first and foremost the Indonesian President who appreciated relations with Vienna {i.e. Austria}.] Wolfgang Petritsch, *Bruno Kreisky: Die Biografie*. Vienna: Residenz Verlag, 2011, p. 126.

⁴⁶ In the above-quoted commentary (fn. 40), Austrian State Radio positively noted that Yugoslavia had left the "Eastern Bloc" as early as 1948 and had proved "capable of navigating between the blocs." (Translated from German.)

⁴⁷ Austrian State Radio, *loc. cit.*



Dr. Kwame Nkrumah, President of Ghana, interrupting his journey through Eastern European countries, arrives on August 8th, 1961 for an unofficial visit to Austria. At Vienna airport, he is welcomed by the Austrian Minister of Interior, Josef Afritsch (right).



Austrian President Adolf Schärf (left) welcomes President Sukarno of Indonesia on his arrival for a one-week state visit to Austria, 2 June 1963.



Austrian President Franz Jonas (right) greets President Josip Broz Tito of Yugoslavia on his arrival at Südbahnhof railway station in Vienna for a five-day state visit to Austria, 13 February 1967.

As neutral country in the center of Europe, Austria was eager to position itself as international meeting place and facilitator of dialogue. This was evident in two of the major superpower summits of the Cold War period that were hosted in the Austrian capital, namely the meetings between President John F. Kennedy of the United States and Premier Nikita Khrushchev of the Soviet Union in June 1961, and between President Carter and Soviet leader Leonid Brezhnev in June 1979. The latter meeting concluded with the signing of the Strategic Arms Limitation Treaty (SALT II).

Also, since the late 1950s, Austria has become host country for an increasing number of intergovernmental organizations such as the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) (1957), the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (1965), the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE) (1995), and the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty Organization (Preparatory Commission) (1996). In 1980, the United Nations established its third headquarters (after New York and Geneva) in Vienna. Furthermore, since 1960, Austria has provided non-combatant troops for United Nations peacekeeping and observer missions, e.g. in Syria, Lebanon, and Cyprus.

In July 1962, in his capacity as Foreign Minister, Kreisky convened an international "Conference on Economic Co-operation and Partnership," which was consecutively held in Salzburg and Vienna. Representatives from 36 industrialized and developing countries, among them Prime Minister Nehru of India, adopted the "Vienna Declaration" that called for a "Marshall Plan for the Third World."⁴⁸ Kreisky aimed to position Austria among the countries that

⁴⁸ "Die zweite Wiener Deklaration vom 7. Juli 1962 über die Zusammenarbeit und Förderung der wirtschaftlichen Entwicklung" [Second Vienna Declaration of 7 July

supported a just and more equitable international economic system, and as a mediator in international conflicts. Informed by the bipartisan approach of Austria's "grand coalition" between the (conservative) People's Party and the Socialist Party, this was a continuation of the foreign policy pursued by his conservative predecessors in the years preceding and following the conclusion of the State Treaty.

Bruno Kreisky continued and widened the policy in the 1970s and early 1980s, when – in his capacity of Federal Chancellor (Prime Minister) – he reached the peak of his influence in Austrian politics. He introduced a policy of "active neutrality," which meant, *inter alia*, support to causes of the then-Third World, in particular the establishment of a New International Economic Order, the struggle against *apartheid*, and the aspirations of the Palestine Liberation Organization for the establishment of an independent state. This was indeed the time of Austria's constructive engagement with the Non-aligned Movement.

For Kreisky, "active neutrality" signified, above all, a role for Austria as mediator in international politics. In the Government Policy Statement delivered at the Austrian Parliament, on 19 June 1979, he commented in detail on what neutrality means for Austria: "As permanently neutral state, Austria cannot be content with the role of mere observer of international developments. Within the framework of an active foreign policy, we shall (...) rather take part in the solution of international problems and, hence,

¹⁹⁶² on Co-operation and Promotion of Economic Development], according to: *Österreichisches Jahrbuch 1962*. Vienna: Österreichische Staatsdruckerei, 1963. – See also, Wolfgang Petritsch, "Dialog mit dem Süden," in: *Bruno Kreisky – Gegen die Zeit.* Heidelberg: Edition Braus, 1995, p. 111.

contribute to the consolidation of general peace."⁴⁹ Already on the basis of this understanding, the Chancellor had hosted, on 1 and 2 June 1975, a Middle East summit in Salzburg between President Gerald Ford of the United States and President Anwar Sadat of Egypt. On 7 July 1979, he convened a meeting in Vienna with the Chairman of the Palestine Liberation Organization, Yasser Arafat. Eventually, Kreisky's initiative led to the recognition of the PLO in the Western world.

On the basis of the foundations laid by Figl and Kreisky in their time as Foreign Ministers, Austria continued to play an active role in the debates on development policies and North-South dialogue.⁵⁰ Chancellor Kreisky, a founding member of the North-South Commission ("Brandt Commission"), entertained close relations with non-aligned leaders such as Indira Gandhi, Tito or Yasser Arafat. Together with President José López Portillo of Mexico, he convened, in October 1981, the North-South Summit in Cancún ("International Meeting on Cooperation and Development").⁵¹ At this meeting, the Prime Minister of China suggested the establishment of a "New

⁴⁹ "Als immerwährend neutraler Staat kann sich Österreich nicht mit der Rolle eines bloßen Beobachters der außenpolitischen Entwicklung begnügen. Wir werden vielmehr im Rahmen einer aktiven Außenpolitik (...) uns bei der Lösung internationaler Probleme beteiligen und damit einen Beitrag zur Festigung des allgemeinen Friedens leisten." (*Außenpolitischer Bericht 1979: Bericht des Bundesministers für Auswärtige Angelegenheiten* [Foreign Policy Report 1979: Report of the Federal Minister for Foreign Affairs]. III-43 der Beilagen XV – Bericht – 02 Hauptdokument. Vienna: Ministry of Foreign Affairs, 1979, p. 204.)

⁵⁰ For details, cf. the report of Kreisky's former Deputy Chief of Staff, Wolfgang Petritsch, *op. cit.*, pp. 110ff.

⁵¹ Because of his health condition, Kreisky was represented at the summit by Austria's Foreign Minister Willibald Pahr. Prime Minister Pierre Trudeau of Canada took his place as Co-chair of the Summit. For details of Kreisky's involvement see B. Vivekanandan, "Bruno Kreisky / Cancun Summit," in: *Global Visions of Olof Palme, Bruno Kreisky and Willy Brandt: International Peace and Security, Co-operation and Development.* [No place]: Palgrave Macmillan / Springer Nature, 2016, pp. 145ff.

International Economic Order." At the European level, within the framework of the Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe (CSCE), Austria further coordinated its foreign policy with Yugoslavia, in an effort aimed at the implementation of the Helsinki Accords of 1975.

The Austrian Chancellor also took an active interest in, and was supportive of, conferences convened by the International Progress Organization on the New International Economic Order (held in Vienna in April 1979, and attended by Austria's Minister of Finance), the Question of Palestine (held in Vienna in November 1980, and inaugurated by Austria's Foreign Minister), and the Principles of Non-alignment (held in Baghdad in May 1982, with Leo Mates, Chief of Staff to President Yosip Broz Tito of Yugoslavia when NAM was founded in 1961, as General Rapporteur of the Conference). Furthermore, at the initiative of Chancellor Kreisky, Prime Minister Indira Gandhi attended an International Dialogue Conference at the Austrian mountain village of Alpbach in the summer of 1983.

Redefining neutrality

Since the beginning in the 1950s, the interpretation and practice of neutrality by Austria has been substantially determined by changes in the global balance of power. At an international conference which the author convened in June 1973 on the topic, "Austria and the European Economic Community," there was consensus among all attending that full membership of Austria in the then EEC (which, unlike today's EU, was not yet a structure with supranational elements) would be out of question, simply because of Austria's constitutional status as a permanently neutral country. The conference was held under the joint auspices of Chancellor Bruno Kreisky and the President of the Commission of the European Economic Community, Sicco L. Mansholt. Austrian delegates included the Minister of Foreign Affairs, parliamentary deputies from all parties, and representatives of the Chamber of Commerce and the Trade Union.⁵²

A major policy shift occurred in the period after the end of the Cold War. A decade earlier already, an increasing number of decision-makers thought, "development of a closer relationship between the European neutral states and the non-aligned movement would very likely decrease the

⁵² International Conference, "Österreich und die EWG" [Austria and the EEC], organized by Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Wissenschaft und Politik [Working Group for Science and Politics], Innsbruck, 2-3 June 1973. Cf. the commentary, "Österreich und die Zehnergemeinschaft: Die Europafunktion Tirols – 'Neutralitätsbonus' für das Land an der blauen Donau" [Austria and the Community of Ten {EEC}: The European Role of Tyrol – 'Neutrality Bonus' for the Country on the Blue Danube], in: *Dolomiten*, Bozen, South Tyrol, No. 125, 6 June 1972, p. 10.

credibility of their policies in the Western eyes."⁵³ In view of gradually intensifying cooperation in the framework of the EEC, this concern ultimately got the upper hand. The geopolitical reorientation and redefinition of Austria's role was substantive. After the disintegration of the Soviet Union and the end of the bipolar order at the beginning of the 1990s, the self-evaluation of Austria's position in Europe changed, and the definition of "neutrality" was adapted to the changes in terms of realpolitik (as perceived by the Austrian political establishment).⁵⁴

The country's focus shifted to *full integration* with the European Community (later, European Union). Following a general referendum on 12 June 1994, Austria joined the European Community (EC) as full member on 1 January 1995. The Foreign Ministry was accordingly reframed as "Federal Ministry for European and International Affairs." All concerns about neutrality were forgotten. After the referendum, former Austrian Foreign Minister Lujo Tončić-Sorinj said, "for Austria, permanent neutrality is merely a transitory status."⁵⁵ (In terms of semantics, the Minister seems to have been unaware of the logical contradiction between "permanent" and "transitory.") Later, some

⁵³ Harto Hakovirta, "Effects of Non-Alignment on Neutrality in Europe: An Analysis and Appraisal," in: *Cooperation and Conflict*, Vol. 18, No. 1 (1983), pp. 57-75; p. 57.

⁵⁴ Cf., *inter alia*, Karin Liebhart, "Austrian Neutrality: Historical Development and Semantic Change," in: András Kovak and Ruth Wodak (eds.), *NATO, Neutrality and National Identity: The Case of Austria and Hungary*. Vienna: Böhlau Verlag, 2003, pp. 23-49. On the ambiguities in the debate cf. also Michal Kořan, "Austrian Neutrality: Burden of History in the Making or Moral Good Rediscovered?" in: *Perspectives*, No. 26 (Summer 2006), pp. 23-45.

⁵⁵ "Die immerwährende Neutralität sei für Österreich nur ein vorübergehender Status." Quoted according to Christian Jenny, *Konsensformel oder Vorbild? Die Entstehung der österreichischen Neutralität und ihr Schweizer Muster.* (Schriftenreihe der Schweizerischen Gesellschaft für Außenpolitik, No. 12.) Bern/Stuttgart/Vienna: Paul Haupt, 1995, p. 7. (Translated from German.)

commentators even declared Austria as a "post-neutral" state.⁵⁶ It goes without saying that the full membership of Austria has made an independent foreign policy virtually impossible. (It also limited the scope of democratic decision-making at the national level.⁵⁷) As a member state, Austria has unavoidably become party to the geopolitical disputes the European Union is involved in, including in Afghanistan, Chad and Mali.

Immediately after the accession to the European Union, Austria joined NATO's "Partnership for Peace" (10 February 1995). In the same year, the country also obtained observer status with the Western European Union (WEU). In 1998, the Austrian Parliament ratified the Agreement among NATO and partner states on the status of their forces. ⁵⁸ Article I of the Agreement obliges Austria to apply the provisions of the 1951 status of forces agreement of NATO. Furthermore, as member of the European Union, Austria also takes part in "EU Battlegroups" within the Union's Common Security and Defense Policy.

The participation in NATO activities and the integration into the intergovernmental structures of the EU have been perceived by many as incompatible with Austria's status of permanent neutrality and, thus, in

⁵⁶ Heinz Gaertner and Otmar Hoell, position paper on "Austria" in a documentation published by the Austrian Ministry of Defense, http://bundesheer.at/pdf_pool/publikationen/05_small_states_14.pdf, p. 1 (retrieved 25 May 2021).

⁵⁷ Cf. Köchler, "The European Constitution and the Imperatives of Transnational Democracy," in: *Singapore Yearbook of International Law*, Vol. IX (2005), pp. 87-101.

⁵⁸ Bundesgesetzblatt für die Republik Österreich, Jahrgang 1998, Teil III, 2 September 1998, No. 136: "Übereinkommen zwischen den Vertragsstaaten des Nordatlantikvertrages und den anderen an der Partnerschaft für den Frieden teilnehmenden Staaten über die Rechtsstellung ihrer Truppen samt Erklärung Österreichs" [Agreement between the State Parties to the North Atlantic Treaty and other States, participating in Partnership for Peace, on the legal status of forces, including the Declaration of Austria].

violation of its Constitution. Accordingly, in 2010, the Parliament amended the neutrality law of 1955, inserting an article into the Constitution that allows for the active participation in military operations within the framework of Austria's EU membership.⁵⁹ Already in 2001, the Parliament adopted a law that authorizes the Minister of Defense to allow the transit and temporary presence of foreign land, sea and air force units through or on Austrian territory.⁶⁰ The provisions of the law have been made use of extensively, and frequently, by NATO forces.

Thus, at present, Austria's foreign and defense policy is essentially oriented towards the European Union and, to a considerable extent, the United States and NATO. This is also reflected in the country's active participation in NATO's "Partnership for Peace." Under the heading of "Partnership," the Alliance's Strategic Concept of 24 April 1999 describes as a fundamental security task of NATO the efforts "to promote wide-ranging partnership, cooperation, and dialogue with other countries in the Euro-Atlantic area, within the aim of increasing transparency, mutual confidence and the capacity for joint action with the Alliance."⁶¹ It goes without saying that this is hardly compatible with a foreign policy of "permanent neutrality" [*immerwährende Neutralität*] in the sense of Austria's Neutrality Law of 1955.

⁵⁹ Article 23j(3) of the Federal Constitutional Law, in reference to Article 43(1) of the Treaty on European Union that provides, *inter alia*, for the deployment of "combat forces in crisis management."

⁶⁰ Bundesgesetz über den Aufenthalt ausländischer Truppen auf österreichischem Hoheitsgebiet ("Truppenaufenhaltsgesetz") [Federal Law on the stay of foreign troops on the sovereign territory of Austria], Bgbl. I No. 57/2001.

⁶¹ *The Alliance's Strategic Concept*, Par. 10, Partnership. – On the evolution of the concept of "Partnership for Peace" cf. John Borawski, "Partnership for Peace 'Plus': Joint Responsibility for Euro-Atlantic Security," in: *Defense Analysis*, Vol. 15, No. 3 (1999), pp. 323-332.

Quite obviously, influential sectors of Austrian civil society were not at ease with a foreign policy that empties the meaning of neutrality in favor of partisan engagement in international disputes. A case in point was the insistence by influential sectors of civil society on a neutral position of Austria in the NATO war over Kosovo in 1999. A so-called "Equidistance Initiative" (*Initiative Äquidistanz*), launched by a wide spectrum of academics and artists, warned of the dangerous consequences for peace and stability in Europe.⁶²

The erosion of Austria's policy of neutrality became particularly obvious in a recent symbolic gesture of both, the country's Federal Chancellor and Foreign Minister. At the height of the armed confrontation between Israel and Palestine, on 14 May 2021, the flag of Israel was hoisted in Vienna on the rooftop of the Federal Chancellery and the Foreign Ministry. The action of the government, initiated by the Chancellor, was widely condemned by the Austrian public and media, including the country's former President, Heinz Fischer.⁶³ A commentator bluntly stated that such a step will not only undermine Austria's "integrity as a neutral state," but also diminish the country's international reputation as "neutral meeting place in situations of international conflict."⁶⁴ The action also cast a shadow on Austria's role as host of the resumed Iran nuclear talks in Vienna,⁶⁵ as became evident when

⁶² "Der Krieg für Kosovo ist nicht zu rechtfertigen" [The war for Kosovo cannot be justified]. *Initiative Äquidistanz*, Vienna, News Release, OTS0207, 29 April 1999.

⁶³ Heinz Fischer, "Einseitigkeit auf dem Dach des Kanzleramts?" [One-sidedness on the roof of the Chancellery?], in: *Wiener Zeitung*, 17 May 2021.

⁶⁴ Rubina Möhring, "Ein langer schwarzer Freitag: Ein Bundeskanzler verirrt sich in der Weltpolitik" [A long Black Friday: A Prime Minister gets lost in world politics], in: *Der Standard*, Vienna, 16 May 2021. (Quote translated from German.)

⁶⁵ Vienna was the venue of the final round of the nuclear talks between Iran and the P5+1 (the five permanent members of the Security Council plus Germany) that were successfully concluded on 14 July 2015 with the agreement on a "Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action" (JCPOA).

Iran's Foreign Minister cancelled an official visit to Vienna, right on the day of the flag hoisting.

These and other steps described above have meant a constant erosion of the country's neutrality in favor of Western-centered realpolitik. Thus, it is not surprising that Austrian officialdom since the end of the Kreisky era has been almost completely silent about Prime Minister Nehruh's historical role as facilitator, and mediator, in the negotiations with the Soviet Union on the conclusion of the State Treaty. (As explained above, the commitment to a neutral status, laid out in the Moscow Memorandum of 1955, was essential for the successful conclusion of the State Treaty and, thus, the full restoration of Austrian sovereignty.) However, unlike the governing elite, a majority of Austrians still adhere to a stricter, more traditional understanding of neutrality in the sense of non-alignment. They consider a non-aligned foreign policy as indispensable for safeguarding the country's independence, and they have not forgotten that it was Austria's pledge to adopt a status of permanent neutrality that brought it the freedom as a full member of the international community after World War II.

Thus, at the present stage, Austria's relationship with the Non-aligned Movement lacks the substance of the early years. It has become rather formal, or merely ceremonial. Together with other neutral European countries and some NATO members, such as the United States or the United Kingdom, Austria is listed, by NAM, as "Guest Country" (not, "Observer Country"). In this capacity, it is invited – on an ad hoc basis – to the opening and closing ceremonies of Non-aligned Summits and Ministerial Conferences.⁶⁶

⁶⁶ Austria has attended as observer since 1970, the year Bruno Kreisky assumed the office of Prime Minister.

However, in spite of the obvious change of priorities of Austria's foreign policy since the Cold War era, with ever more close integration into the Western security system, the country's continued commitment, in principle, to multilateralism and its active participation in the movement against nuclear arms could still be a basis for constructive cooperation, albeit limited in scope, with countries of the Non-aligned Movement. It should be noted that it was at the initiative of Austria, with some other states, that the General Assembly of the United Nations decided to convene a conference on the prohibition of nuclear weapons.⁶⁷ The Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons was eventually adopted on 7 July 2020. The UN has now announced that the first meeting of State Parties of the Treaty will take place in Austria in January 2022.

In conclusion: As a small state in the center of Europe, Austria could, in principle, continue to build on its legacy of honest broker and facilitator – if it returns to the traditional virtues of non-alignment and defines neutrality in the sense conceived of by the founding fathers of the second Republic. Under the conditions of an ever more chaotic globalization, overshadowed by the threat of a new cold war, "active" neutrality could mean, for Austria, renewed engagement for the causes of a *multilateral* world, which include self-determination, respect of equality among all states, nuclear disarmament, and an unequivocal commitment to peaceful co-existence. These are also the

⁶⁷ In a speech at the UN General Assembly on 21 September 2016, Austrian Foreign Minister (now, Chancellor [Prime Minister]) Sebastian Kurz described nuclear disarmament as "the number one unfinished business" and, according to a UN news release, announced that, "[t]ogether with other Member States, Austria would table a draft resolution to convene negotiations on a legally binding comprehensive instrument to prohibit nuclear weapons …" (General Assembly of the United Nations / General Debate / Austria, 21 September 2016 [71st Session], https://gadebate.un.org/ en/71/austria.)

principles expounded by the Non-aligned Movement, some of whose founders supported or encouraged Austria on its way to gaining full independence after World War II.

There is one big stumbling block to such noble ambitions – that are shared by a majority of the Austrian electorate nonetheless. Membership with the European Union sets clear limits to any redefinition of the country's international status. Unlike in the post-war era, when Austria was still weak and dependent on foreign goodwill, but fiercely independent-minded, *now* – as member of a powerful regional bloc – the country has less space to navigate in global affairs. After half a century, the non-aligned ideals of Austria's founders – that enabled them to reposition the country on the world map – no longer seem to conform to Austrian realpolitik.

Bibliography

- Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Wissenschaft und Politik, International Conference, "Österreich und die EWG" [Austria and the EEC]. Innsbruck, 2-3 June 1973, at http://hanskoechler.com/Austria-EEC-Symposium-2-3June 1972.htm.
- [Austria] Stiftung Bruno Kreisky Archiv (SBKA), Vienna: Aktennotiz über eine außenpolitische Aussprache am 22. Juni 1953, 17 Uhr beim Herrn Bundeskanzler. Materialien zum Staatsvertrag, SBKA Mat. StV Neutralität Box 937a [photostat copy].
- [Austria] Stiftung Bruno Kreisky Archiv (SBKA), Vienna: *Gruber, Memorandum für Gundieva, 29. Juni 1953*. Materialien zum Staatsvertrag, SBKA Mat. StV Neutralität Box 937a [photostat copy].
- [Austria, Republic of] Österreichisches Haus-, Hof- und Staatsarchiv / Archiv der Republik (AdR): Enderl / Amtsvermerk / Gespräch mit Botschafter K.P.S. Menon, Staatsvertragsfrage, 14. September 1953. AdR BKA-AA II-Pol StV 1 316.065-Pol/53 323.914-Pol/53.
- [Austria, Republic of] *Staatsvertrag, betreffend die Wiederherstellung eines unabhängigen und demokratischen Österreich*. Republik Österreich, Bundesgesetzblatt, Year 1955, Issue 39, No. 152, published on 30 July 1955.
- [Austria, Republic of] Bundesverfassungsgestz vom 26. Oktober 1955 über die Neutralität Österreichs. Bgbl. No. 211/1955.
- [Austria, Republic of] *Österreichisches Jahrbuch 1962*. Vienna: Österreichische Staatsdruckerei, 1963.
- [Austria, Republic of] *Außenpolitischer Bericht 1979: Bericht des Bundesministers für Auswärtige Angelegenheiten*. III-43 der Beilagen XV Bericht 02 Hauptdokument. Vienna: Ministry of Foreign Affairs, 1979.
- [Austria, Republic of] *Bundesgesetzblatt für die Republik Österreich*, Year 1998, Part III, 2 September 1998, No. 136: "Übereinkommen zwischen den Vertragsstaaten des Nordatlantikvertrages und den anderen an der Partnerschaft für den Frieden teilnehmenden Staaten über die Rechtsstellung ihrer Truppen samt Erklärung Österreichs."

- [Austria, Republic of] Bundesgesetz über den Aufenthalt ausländischer Truppen auf österreichischem Hoheitsgebiet ("Truppenaufenhaltsgesetz"), Bgbl. I No. 57/2001.
- [Austria, Republic of] General Assembly of the United Nations / General Debate / Austria, 21 September 2016 [71st Session], https://gadebate.un.org/ en/71/austria.
- [Austria, Republic of] *Österreichisches Staatsarchiv* (Austrian State Archive), AdR, BMfAA, II-Pol. Zl.223.864-POL/57.
- Erich Bielka, Peter Jankowitsch, Hans Thalberg (eds.), *Die Ära Kreisky:* Schwerpunkte der österreichischen Aussenpolitik. Vienna: Europaverlag, 1983.
- Hellmuth Bock, "Wir blenden auf, wir blenden ein Besuch von Marschall Tito in Wien," ORF (Austrian State Radio), 31 January 1967, audio recording, *Österreichische Mediathek*, orf-06418_K02.
- John Borawski, "Partnership for Peace 'Plus': Joint Responsibility for Euro-Atlantic Security," in: *Defense Analysis*, Vol. 15, No. 3 (1999), pp. 323-332.
- Franz Cede, Christian Prosl, Anspruch und Wirklichkeit: Österreichs Außenpolitik seit 1945. Innsbruck/Vienna: Studien-Verlag, 2015.
- [Der Spiegel] "Cäsar mit Knöpflschuhen," in: *Der Spiegel*, No. 36/1953, 1 September 1953, pp. 1-19.
- [Dolomiten] "Österreich und die Zehnergemeinschaft: Die Europafunktion Tirols – 'Neutralitätsbonus' für das Land an der blauen Donau." *Dolomiten*, Bozen, South Tyrol, No. 125, 6 June 1972, p. 10.
- Heinz Fischer, "Einseitigkeit auf dem Dach des Kanzleramts?" in: *Wiener Zeitung*, Vienna, 17 May 2021.
- Thomas Fischer, "Mount Nehru: Friedensgipfel Bürgenstock," in: *Transhelvetica*, #8/2012, January-February, pp. 44-47.
- Forum Politische Bildung (ed.), *Frei Souverän Neutral Europäisch.* 1945 1955 1995 2005. Innsbruck/Vienna: Studien Verlag 2004.
- Margit Franz, "Höhepunkte der österreichisch-indischen Beziehungen," in: Gabriela Krist and Tatjana Bayerová (eds.), *Heritage Conservation and Research in India: 60 Years of Indo-Austrian Collaboration*, ./.
- Friends with Enemies: Neutrality and Nonalignment Then and Now. International Conference, Vienna, 2-3 March 2020. Summary by

Patrick McGrath. Vienna: International Institute for Peace, 2020, https://www.iipvienna.com.

- Heinz Gaertner and Otmar Hoell, position paper on "Austria." Austrian Ministry of Defense, http://bundesheer.at/pdf_pool/publikationen/ 05_small_states_14.pdf, p. 1.
- Claus Gatterer, "Österreichs Staatsvertrag: 'Mit dem Bergstock ins Wespennest'," in: *Die Zeit*, No. 29/1977, 8 July 1977.
- Michael Gehler, "From Non-alignment to Neutrality: Austria's Transformation during the First East-West Détente, 1953-1958," in: *Journal of Cold War Studies*, Vol. 7, No. 4, Fall 2005, pp. 104-136.
- Michael Gehler, Modellfall für Deutschland? Die Österreichlösung mit Staatsvertrag und Neutralität 1945-1955. Innsbruck/Vienna/Bozen: StudienVerlag, 2015.
- Michael Gehler, "Grubers Treffen mit Nehru am 20. Juni 1953: Allianzfreiheit als Maximum des Möglichen und die Bürgenstock-Initiative," in: *Modellfall Deutschland*, pp. 279ff.
- Benjamin Gilde, Österreich im KSZE-Prozess 1969-1983: Neutraler Vermittler in humanitärer Mission. Munich: De Gruyter Oldenbourg, 2013.
- Konrad Ginther, Neutralität und Neutralitätspolitik: Die österreichische Neutralität zwischen Schweizer Muster und sowjetischer Koexistenzdoktrin. (Forschungen aus Staat und Recht, Vol. 31.) Vienna/New York: Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- Karl Gruber, *Zwischen Befreiung und Freiheit: Der Sonderfall Österreich*. Vienna: Ullstein, 2nd ed. 1953.
- Karl Gruber, *Ein politisches Leben: Österreichs Weg zwischen den Diktaturen.* Vienna/Munich/Zurich: Molden, [1976].
- Harto Hakovirta, "Effects of Non-Alignment on Neutrality in Europe: An Analysis and Appraisal," in: *Cooperation and Conflict*, Vol. 18, No. 1 (1983), pp. 57-75.
- Andrew E. Harrod, "Hidden Hands and Cross-Purposes: Austria and the Irreconcilable Conflict between Neutrality and Market Laws," in: *Austrian History Yearbook*, Vol. 43, April 2012, pp. 165-188.
- Gunther Hauser, Österreich Dauernd neutral? Vienna: Braumüller, 2002.
- [Initiative Äquidistanz] "Der Krieg für Kosovo ist nicht zu rechtfertigen." News Release, OTS0207, Vienna, 29 April 1999.

- Christian Jenny, Konsensformel oder Vorbild? Die Entstehung der österreichischen Neutralität und ihr Schweizer Muster. (Schriftenreihe der Schweizerischen Gesellschaft für Außenpolitik, No. 12.) Bern/Stuttgart/Vienna: Paul Haupt, 1995.
- Michal Kořan, "Austrian Neutrality: Burden of History in the Making or Moral Good Rediscovered?" in: *Perspectives*, No. 26 (Summer 2006), pp. 23-45.
- András Kovak and Ruth Wodak (eds.), *NATO, Neutrality and National Identity: The Case of Austria and Hungary*. Vienna: Böhlau Verlag, 2003.
- Hans Köchler (ed.), *The New International Economic Order: Philosophical and Socio-cultural Implications*. Studies in International Relations, Vol. III. Guildford (England): Guildford Educational Press, 1980.
- Hans Köchler (ed.), *The Principles of Non-alignment: The Non-aligned Countries in the Eighties – Results and Perspectives*. London / Vienna: Third World Centre, 1982.
- Hans Köchler (ed.), *The New International Information and Communication Order: Basis for Cultural Dialogue and Peaceful Coexistence among Nations.* Studies in International Relations, Vol. X. Vienna: Braumüller, 1985.
- Hans Köchler, "The European Constitution and the Imperatives of Transnational Democracy," in: *Singapore Yearbook of International Law*, Vol. IX (2005), pp. 87-101.
- Hans Köchler, "Non-Aligned Movement," in: *Encyclopedia of Global Studies*, Vol. 3. Los Angeles / London / New Delhi / Singapore / Washington DC: SAGE, 2012, pp. 1248-1250.
- Bruno Kreisky, *Reden*. Vol. 1. Vienna: Verlag der österreichischen Staatsdruckerei, 1981.
- Gabriela Krist and Tatjana Bayerová (eds.), *Heritage Conservation and Research in India: 60 Years of Indo-Austrian Collaboration*. Vienna/ Cologne/Weimar: Böhlau Verlag, 2010.
- Karin Liebhart, "Austrian Neutrality. Historical Development and Semantic Change," in: András Kovak and Ruth Wodak (eds.), *NATO, Neutrality and National Identity*, pp. 23-49.
- Paul Luif, Die Bewegung der blockfreien Staaten und Österreich. Informationen zur Weltpolitik, Vol. 3. Vienna: Austrian Institute for International Affairs, 1981.

- Rubina Möhring, "Ein langer schwarzer Freitag: Ein Bundeskanzler verirrt sich in der Weltpolitik," in: *Der Standard*, Vienna, 16 May 2021.
- Wolfgang Mueller, A Good Example of Peaceful Coexistence? The Soviet Union, Austria, and Neutrality, 1955-1991. Vienna: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 2011.
- Hanspeter Neuhold and Hans Thalberg (eds.), *The European Neutrals in International Affairs*. Vienna: Braumüller / Boulder, Co.: Westview, 1984.
- [Neue Zürcher Zeitung], "Gruber besucht Nehru auf dem Bürgenstock," in: *Neue Zürcher Zeitung*, Zurich, 19 June 1953, p. 38.
- [Neues Österreich: Organ der demokratischen Erneuerung], "Regierung und Parlament schlagen vor: Sofortiger Abzug der Besatzungstruppen," in: *Neues Österreich*, 9th Year (No. 139), No. 2477, 19 June 1953, p. 1.
- [Neues Österreich: Organ der demokratischen Erneuerung], "Auf dem Bürgenstock am Vierwaldstättersee: Außenminister Dr. Gruber besucht Pandit Nehru," in: *Neues Österreich*, 9th Year (No. 140), No. 2478, 20 June 1953, p. 1.
- [Neues Österreich: Organ der demokratischen Erneuerung], "Nach der Konferenz mit Pandit Nehru auf dem Bürgenstock: Indien bereit, Österreich 'gute Dienste' zu leihen," in: *Neues Österreich*, 9th Year (No. 141), No. 2479, 21 June 1953, pp. 1-2.
- [Neues Österreich: Organ der demokratischen Erneuerung], "Die guten Dienste," in: *Neues Österreich*, 9th Year (No. 144), No. 2482, 25 June 1953, pp. 1-2.
- North Atlantic Treaty Organization, *The Alliance's Strategic Concept. Approved* by the Heads of State and Government participating in the meeting of the North Atlantic Council in Washington D.C. 24 April 1999. Press Release NAC-S(99)65.
- Werner A. Perger, Wolfgang Petritsch (eds.), *Bruno Kreisky Gegen die Zeit.* Heidelberg: Edition Braus, 1995.
- Wolfgang Petritsch, "Dialog mit dem Süden," in: *Bruno Kreisky Gegen die Zeit,* pp. 110ff.
- Wolfgang Petritsch, Bruno Kreisky: Die Biografie. Vienna: Residenz Verlag, 2011.

- Karl Renner, "Die ideologische Ausrichtung der Politik Österreichs," in: *Wiener Zeitung*, 240th Year, No. 16, 19 January 1947, pp. 1-2.
- Adolf Schärf, Österreichs Erneuerung 1945-1955: Das erste Jahrzehnt der zweiten Republik. Vienna: Verlag der Wiener Volksbuchhandlung, 1955.
- Walter Scholger, Neutralität vor den Vereinten Nationen: Österreich und Irland auf der XI. Generalversammlung der UNO, 1956/57. Diplomarbeit, Universität Graz, 2005.
- Heinrich Siegler (ed.), Österreichs Weg zur Souveränität, Neutralität, Prosperität 1945-1959. Bonn/Vienna/Zurich: Verlag für Zeitarchive, 1959.
- Gerald Stourzh, "Österreichs Weg zum Staatsvertrag und zur Neutralität," in: Forum Politische Bildung (ed.), *Frei – Souverän – Neutral – Europäisch.* 1945 – 1955 – 1995 – 2005, pp. 7-20.
- Gerald Stourzh and Wolfgang Mueller, Der Kampf um den Staatsvertrag 1945-1955: Ost-West-Besetzung, Staatsvertrag und Neutralität Österreichs. Vienna: Böhlau Verlag, 2020.
- [Switzerland] Schweizer Bundesarchiv Bern (BAR), Entretien avec M. Karl Gruber, Ministre des affaires étrangères d'Autriche, le samedi 18 juillet 1953, à Zurich. BAR E 2800 1990/106 Vol. 19.
- The New York Times, "Nehru in Vienna Today." 26 June 1955, p. 4.
- United Nations, General Assembly, 7th session, resolution 613 (VII), adopted at the 409th plenary meeting, 20 December 1952: *Question of an appeal to the Powers signatories to the Moscow Declaration of 1 November* 1943 for the early fulfillment of their pledges towards Austria.
- [United States] Foreign Relations of the United States 1952-1954. Vol. VII: Germany and Austria, Part 2. William Z. Slany, Editor in Chief. (Department of State Publication 9470 – Office of the Historian, Bureau of Public Affairs.) Washington DC: United States Government Printing Office, 1986.

[Vienna Congress] Actes du Congrès de Vienne. Brussels: Weissenbruch, 1819.

[Vienna Congress] "ACT No. XI. Declaration of the Powers on the affairs of the Helvetic Confederacy, of the 20th March; and Act of Accession of the Diet of the 27th May 1815," in: *Translation of the GENERAL TREATY signed in Congress at Vienna, June 9, 1815; with the Acts thereunto annexed*. Appendix No. 6, 2 February 1816. *Journals of the House of* *Commons*. Sess. 1816. Printed by Order of The House of Commons. Vol. 71, pp. 762-764.

- B. Vivekanandan, "Bruno Kreisky / Cancun Summit," in: *Global Visions of Olof Palme, Bruno Kreisky and Willy Brandt,* ./.
- B. Vivekanandan, *Global Visions of Olof Palme, Bruno Kreisky and Willy Brandt: International Peace and Security, Co-operation and Development.* [No place]: Palgrave Macmillan / Springer Nature, 2016.
- Kurt Waldheim, Der österreichische Weg: Aus der Isolation zur Neutralität. Vienna/Munich/Zurich: Molden, 1971.
- Sir John Wheeler-Bennett and Anthony Nicholls, *The Semblance of Peace: The Political Settlement after the Second World War*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, 1972.
- Karl Zemanek, "Austria's Policy of Neutrality: Constants and Variables," in: Hanspeter Neuhold and Hans Thalberg (eds.), *The European Neutrals in International Affairs*, pp. 17-24.
- Karl Zemanek, "Neutral Austria in the United Nations," in: *International Organization*, Vol. 15, No. 3 (Summer 1961), pp. 408-422.

ANNEX

Non-aligned Movement

Encyclopedia of Global Studies, Vol. 3, SAGE reference, 2012, pp. 1248-1250

The Non-Aligned Movement (NAM) has shaped global debates on just and equitable relations among states particularly during the cold war era. In the period of decolonization, it has supported liberation and anti-imperialist struggles in Asia, Africa, and Latin America and has contributed, to a considerable extent, to their international recognition in the framework of the United Nations Organization. The movement has been trying to uphold its philosophy – a commitment to independence, equality in relations between states, and peaceful co-existence – even after the end of the superpower rivalry of the cold war. Non-alignment is defined, by the NAM, as expression of an independent policy of a state in all its ramifications, domestically as well as internationally. As a consequence, it rejects a country's adherence to any great power alliance.

The Asian-African Conference held in April 1955 in Bandung, Indonesia, paved the ground for the idea of "non-alignment." Leaders from 29 countries, including Premier Zhou Enlai of the People's Republic of China, the Prime Minister of India, and the President of Egypt, adopted the "Ten Principles of Bandung" that reaffirm the basic purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter such as sovereign equality of all nations, territorial integrity, non-interference in internal affairs, and the peaceful settlement of international disputes.

These principles became the main goals of the movement of non-aligned countries that was founded at a summit conference in Belgrade, Yugoslavia (1-6 September 1961). Josip Broz Tito of Yugoslavia, Gamal Abdel Nasser of Egypt, Jawaharlal Nehru of India, Ahmed Sukarno of Indonesia, and Kwame Nkrumah of Ghana were among the leaders from 25 African and Asian countries who had agreed, from the very beginning, that this grouping of states should understand itself as a "movement", but not as an intergovernmental organization with an elaborate statute.

The membership of the movement has continuously expanded and stands now at 118: 53 states from Africa, 38 from Asia (including Palestine), 26 from Latin America and the Caribbean, and 1 from Europe (Belarus). In addition, 17 countries (including China) and 9 organizations, mostly of intergovernmental nature, enjoy observer status. Decisions are made by consensus, whereby this requirement is also understood as being met if an "overwhelming majority" supports a proposal. The structure of the movement, as it evolved over the almost five decades of its existence, favors informal procedures. The chair rotates among the countries hosting the summit conference of the NAM. So far, 15 such meetings have taken place in intervals of mostly three years. At the meeting of the Foreign Ministers in New Delhi in 1997, the "troika" of the NAM, consisting of the former, incumbent and future chairs, has been added as an additional forum. Other structures for the coordination of the member states' policies are, inter alia, the ministerial-level Coordinating Bureau (COB), the Group of Non-Aligned Countries in the Security Council (Caucus), and the Ioint Coordination Committee (ICC), tasked with the cooperation of the NAM with the Group of 77 (G-77), the largest grouping of developing countries at the United Nations (with 130 members).

The NAM went through its first identity crisis in the years after 1963 due to the *détente* between the United States and the Soviet Union. Indonesia had temporarily suspended its membership in 1964 and suggestions had been made for a new international organization. While the Bandung Principles and the declaration of the first NAM summit in Belgrade (1961) still serve as guidelines for the movement's activities, the 14th Summit in Havana (2006) has adopted a more detailed catalogue of Purposes and Principles. The goals are largely overlapping with the Purposes and Principles of the United Nations Charter. The new document particularly condemns the "categorization of countries as good or evil based on unilateral and unjustified criteria" (point I/m), states the condemnation of genocide and other international crimes as basic principle of the movement (point II/o), and affirms democracy as a "universal value" (point II/r).

The purposes and principles are reflected in the five membership criteria of the NAM, which include, *inter alia*, the commitment to "non-alignment" and an "independent policy" in general; support of "national independence movements"; and non-membership with multilateral military alliances. However, the criteria are drafted in a rather "flexible" manner, something that has given room to interpretation and led to ambiguities that, over the years, have threatened the internal cohesion of the movement. The "prohibition" of joining a multilateral alliance is "qualified" in so far as it relates to alliances that have been "concluded in the context of great power conflicts" (criterion 3); the same proviso is stated in criteria 4 and 5 (concerning the conclusion of bilateral military agreements and the granting of rights for foreign bases).

The NAM undoubtedly played an important historical role in the decolonization processes in Asia and Africa and in the strengthening of the independence of the post-colonial countries. Subsequently, it has become one of the most influential forums for the promotion of South-South cooperation, and for initiatives aimed at the establishment of a New International Economic Order in particular. Because its philosophy was originally defined by the struggle for independence from great power influence during the 1950s and 1960s – when "non-alignment" meant keeping away from the

military alliances of the rival superpowers –, the end of East-West bipolarity after 1989 has led to an identity crisis. However, this crisis, unlike the first one triggered by the *détente* of the 1960s, did not have organizational repercussions. It led, nonetheless, to questions about the marginalization of the NAM, possibly having lost its *raison d'être* in the new geopolitical environment.

Hans Köchler

Further readings

Alimov, Yuri (1987). *The rise and growth of the non-aligned movement.* Moscow: Progress Publishers.

Köchler, Hans (ed.) (1982). *The principles of non-alignment*. London: Third World Centre.

Mates, Leo (1970). Nonalignment and the great powers. *Foreign Affairs*, 48(3), 525-536.

Willetts, Peter (1978). *The non-aligned movement: the origins of a Third World alliance*. London / New York: Pinter Publishers / Nichols Pub. Co.

Message by H.E. Indira Gandhi Prime Minister of India

to the delegates of the international conference on "The Principles of Non-alignment" convened by the International Progress Organization in Baghdad, Iraq 4-6 May 1982*

Non-alignment is a fact of international life in our times and a powerful movement to promote goodwill and cooperation among nations.

Over the last few decades, weapons have progressively become more lethal, and confrontationist rhetoric increasingly strident. With vast sums of money being spent on armaments of destruction, the hope of the deprived two-thirds of the world's peoples for higher standards of living is in danger of turning to despair. The financial and energy crises aggravate their problems.

In our struggle for peace, justice and development, the Non-aligned Movement is more relevant than ever before. It links together countries, which have chosen to keep away from the rivalries of the major powers, and responds to the urges of peoples throughout the world for an alternative to conflict and hate.

I am glad that the International Progress Organization has taken the initiative of holding an International Conference on the Principles of Nonalignment. (...) I send my good wishes for its success.

(Indira Gandhi)

New Delhi May 1, 1982

^{*} Reprinted from Hans Köchler (ed.), *The Principles of Non-alignment*. Studies in International Relations, Vol. VII. London/Vienna: Third World Centre, 1982, p. 18. (Original signed document on file at I.P.O. headquarters in Vienna.)

Index of pictures

- O <u>Cover page</u>: John F. Kennedy, President of the United States, Nikita Sergeyevich Khrushchev, Chairman of the Council of Ministers of the Soviet Union, and Adolf Schärf, Federal President of Austria, Schönbrunn Palace, Vienna, 3 June 1961. (Credit: Daily Herald, via Getty Images)
- O <u>Page 9</u>: Jawaharlal Nehru, Prime Minister of India, and Theodor Körner, Federal President of Austria, Presidential Office, Hofburg Palace, Vienna, 26 June 1955. (Credit: Reuters [newsreel footage], via POND5)
- <u>Page 13:</u> Jahwaharlal Nehru, Prime Minister of India, and Karl Gruber, Foreign Minister of Austria, Bürgenstock Hotel, Bürgenberg above Lake Lucerne, Switzerland, 20 June 1953. (Credit: ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, Bildarchiv)
- O <u>Page 23</u>: Dr. Kwame Nkrumah, President of Ghana, and Josef Afritsch, Minister of Interior of Austria, Vienna Airport, 8 August 1961. (Credit: Keystone Press, via Alamy Stock Photo)
- O <u>Page 24</u>: Sukarno, President of Indonesia, and Adolf Schärf, Federal President of Austria, Vienna, 2 June 1963. (Credit: IMS Vintage Photos)
- 0 <u>Page 25</u>: Josip Broz Tito, President of Yugoslavia, and Franz Jonas, President of Austria, Südbahnhof railway station, Vienna, 13 February 1967. (Credit: Bildarchiv Austria, via Austrian National Library)

STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

(1) CULTURAL SELF-COMPREHENSION OF NATIONS 144 pages (1978), ISBN 3-7711-0311-8 CULTURAL-PHILOSOPHICAL ASPECTS OF INTERNATIONAL (II) COOPERATION 13 pages (1978) THE NEW INTERNATIONAL ORDER – PHILOSOPHICAL AND (III)SOCIO-CULTURAL IMPLICATIONS 105 pages (1980), ISBN 0-9506386-3-3 (IV)THE LEGAL ASPECTS OF THE PALESTINE PROBLEM (WITH SPECIAL REGARD TO THE QUESTION OF JERUSALEM) 299 pages (1981), ISBN 3-7003-0278-9 (V) THE PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW AND HUMAN RIGHTS (THE COMPATIBILITY OF TWO NORMATIVE SYSTEMS) 32 pages (1981) THE SOLUTION OF THE PALESTINE REFUGEE PROBLEM (VI)53 pages (1982) THE PRINCIPLES OF NON-ALIGNMENT (VII) VII + 281 pages (1982), ISBN 0-86199-015-3 ARABISM AND ISLAM [English / French] (VIII) 22/25 pages (1984) (IX)LE CONFLICT DES MALOUINES 103 pages (1984) THE NEW INTERNATIONAL INFORMATION AND (X) COMMUNICATION ORDER VI + 127 pages (1985), ISBN 3-7003-0645-8 (XI) THE REAGAN ADMINISTRATION'S FOREIGN POLICY 470 pages (1985), ISBN 086199-020-X (XII) DEMOCRACY IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS 51 pages (1986), ISBN 3-900704-01-5 (XIII) TERRORISM AND NATIONAL LIBERATION 316 pages (1988), ISBN 3-8204-1217-4

(XIV)	FOREIGN POLICY AND DEMOCRACY (RECONSIDERING THE UNIVERSALITY OF THE DEMOCRATIC PRINCIPLES) 38 pages (1988) ISBN 3-900704-05-8
(XV)	DEMOCRACY AND HUMAN RIGHTS. DO HUMAN RIGHTS CONCUR WITH PARTICULAR DEMOCRATIC SYSTEMS? 31 pages (1990), ISBN 3-900704-08-2
(XVI)	THE INVASION OF PANAMA AND INTERNATIONAL LAW 28 pages (1990), ISBN 3-900704-09-0
(XVII)	THE VOTING PROCEDURE IN THE UNITED NATIONS SECURITY COUNCIL 50 pages (1991), ISBN 3-900704-10-4
(XVIII)	THE UNITED NATIONS AND THE NEW WORLD ORDER 57 pages (1992), ISBN 3-900704-11-2
(XIX)	DEMOCRACY AND THE NEW WORLD ORDER 34 pages (1993), ISBN 3-900704-13-9
(XX)	ETHISCHE ASPEKTE DER SANKTIONEN IM VÖLKERRECHT 52 pages (1994), ISBN 3-900704-14-7
(XXI)	DEMOCRACY AFTER THE END OF THE EAST-WEST CONFLICT 65 pages (1995), ISBN 3-900704-15-5
(XXII)	THE UNITED NATIONS AND INTERNATIONAL DEMOCRACY: THE QUEST FOR UN REFORM 36 pages (1997), ISBN 3-900704-16-3
(XXIII)	ECONOMIC SANCTIONS AND DEVELOPMENT, 76 pages (1997), ISBN 3-900704-17-1
(XXIV)	CIVILIZATIONS: CONFLICT OR DIALOGUE? 99 pages (1999), ISBN 3-900704-18-X
(XXV)	GLOBALITY VERSUS DEMOCRACY? THE CHANGING NATURE OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS IN THE ERA OF GLOBALIZATION 231 pages (2000), ISBN 3-900704-19-8

(XXVI) HUMANITARIAN INTERVENTION IN THE CONTEXT OF MODERN POWER POLITICS 63 pages (2001), ISBN 3-900704-20-1

(XXVII)	THE LOCKERBIE TRIAL: DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE I.P.O. OBSERVER MISSION 165 pages (2002), ISBN 3-900704-21-X
(XXVIII)	THE IRAQ CRISIS AND THE UNITED NATIONS: POWER POLITICS VS. THE INTERNATIONAL RULE OF LAW 105 pages (2004), ISBN 3-900704-22-8
(XXIX)	THE USE OF FORCE IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS: CHALLENGES TO COLLECTIVE SECURITY 237 pages (2006), ISBN 3-900704-23-6
(XXX)	THE "GLOBAL WAR ON TERROR" AND THE QUESTION OF WORLD ORDER 252 pages (2008), ISBN 978-3-900704-24-7
(XXXI)	WORLD ORDER: VISION AND REALITY xxiii + 564 pages (2009), ISBN 978-81-7831-200-2
(XXXII)	THE SECURITY COUNCIL AS ADMINISTRATOR OF JUSTICE? REFLECTIONS ON THE ANTAGONISTIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN POWER AND LAW 94 pages (2011), ISBN 978-3-900704-25-4
(XXXIII)	FORCE OR DIALOGUE: CONFLICTING PARADIGMS OF WORLD ORDER xii + 414 pages (2015), ISBN 978-81-7831-396-7
(XXXIV)	THE SAINT PETERSBURG LECTURES: CIVILIZATION AND WORLD ORDER viii + 120 pages (2019), ISBN 978-3-900704-26-1
(XXXV)	KASTELLORIZO: THE GEOPOLITICS OF MARITIME BOUNDARIES AND THE DYSFUNCTIONALITY OF THE LAW OF THE SEA 106 pages (2020), ISBN 978-3-900704-27-8
(XXXVI)	AUSTRIA, NEUTRALITY AND NON-ALIGNMENT 59 pages (2021), ISBN 978-3-900704-28-5